#### Photonics-Workshop: «Artificial Intelligence in Photonics»

Fachanlass Swissmem-Fachgruppe Photonics in Zusammenarbeit mit Swissphotonics **Dienstag, 3. September 2019, 13.30 bis ca. 17.30 Uhr** 

Fachhochschule Nordwestschweiz FHNW, Campus Brugg-Windisch, Raum 6.-1D09 im Gebäude 6, 5210 Windisch



# Photonics for AI or AI for Photonics

Andrea Dunbar FHNW, Campus Brugg-Windisch 3 September 2019







#### Technologies in focus at CSEM



#### " csem

#### **CSEM** at a glance



(3)

# A public-private partnership

- Flexible and professional
- Industry friendly IP approach



**73 %** Private Organizations



#### From photon in to information out



\* CSEM showcase

(5)



REDO slide with Hierachicial computing

#### 50 billion IoT : Now is the time for unlimited autonomy





6

" csem

# VISION ML NEEDS PHOTONICS

Advanced miniaturized IoT systems



# Witness: Image recorder powered by ambient lic

#### Scene capture:

- QVGA (320x320), 107° FOV
- When light available @ max 1'
- Based on motion deter

#### Mechanico<sup>,</sup>

- 8cm

" CSem

- *uole sticker* - 0.6n
- <u>- 4mm .</u> <u> camera coin</u>





**Expected** 



Achieved



8

## Optics photonics to help Machine Learning



Equalize illumination for all AOI, avoid double images and choose lowest aberration rays by applying an obscuration and an aperture.



9

For a first prototype fabricated by diamond turning a total track length of TOTR = 1.35 mm is demonstrated

# CTI Feasability ASICs $\rightarrow$ Ergo: ULP HDR IoT Imager (2020Q1)

- Resolution: 320 x 320 (640 x 640) pixels
- Dynamic range: at least 120 dB intra-scene
- Power budget:  $< 700 \,\mu\text{W} (1 \,\text{mW})$  at 10 fps
- SNR: 20 dB at 5 lux and 25 °C
- Interface: 1 to 8 bits SPI (HyperBus)
- Persistence: Image stored on-chip
- Cost in production: < USD 2



10



**«**CSem

# Witness: Software

# The **ERGO** imager stores the picture internally: there is no need for external memory



Motion detection is performed on subsampled images

Full resolution image is processed by chunks of 8 lines (MCU internal memory is 64kB only)

Only changed parts are compressed and stored in the flash memory



# ML for OPTICS

Image Recognition



#### Observations are degraded compared to sharp (clean) images



#### Image restoration: Supervised, End-to-End



Lo







14

#### Image restoration: Supervised, End-to-End

#### Gaussian noise with $\sigma = 50$



(15)



Real camera noise removal

#### • Hand-crafted models such as BM3D still outperform CNNs!

[Plötz & Roth, Benchmarking Denoising Algorithms with Real Photographs, 2017]



16



#### Real camera noise removal

- Hand-crafted models such as BM3D still outperform CNNs!
  - [Plötz & Roth, Benchmarking Denoising Algorithms with Real Photographs, 2017]
- BM3D: Assumption about image sparsity
- CNN: Assumption about noise distribution

" CSem





Matched patches

#### Image restoration: Maximum a-Posteriori

• Given *y*, maximize posterior probability

$$\arg\max_{x} p(x|y) = \arg\max_{x} p(y|x)p(x) =$$
$$\arg\max_{x} \log p(y|x) + \log p(x)$$

- log p(y|x): data term (likelihood)
  - How well does the solution explain the observed data
- $\log p(x)$  : image prior
  - Probability of the solution

#### « csem

#### Image restoration: Denoising Autoencoder (DAE)



Image restoration using autoencoding priors [Bigdeli and Zwicker, 2017] Deep mean-shift priors for image restoration [Bigdeli et al., 2018]



#### Image restoration: Denoising Autoencoders





Image restoration using autoencoding priors [Bigdeli and Zwicker, 2017] Deep mean-shift priors for image restoration [Bigdeli et al., 2018]

#### Image restoration: Denoising Autoencoder (DAE)





#### Image restoration: Gradient descent to find MAP



22)



#### Image restoration: Removing blur



Blurry and noisy

Dataset: Kodak Image Suite, 2013



#### Image restoration: Removing blur



24)

Blurry and noisy

Dataset: Kodak Image Suite, 2013



# Image restoration: image up-sampling (super-resolution)

Deep mean-shift priors for image restoration [Bigdeli et al., 2018] Image Denoising via MAP estimation using Deep Neural Networks [Bigdeli and Süsstrunk, 2019]

4x down-sampled





# Image restoration: image up-sampling (super-resolution)

Deep mean-shift priors for image restoration [Bigdeli et al., 2018] Image Denoising via MAP estimation using Deep Neural Networks [Bigdeli and Süsstrunk, 2019]



26

Dataset: Set14, Zeyed et al, 2010

#### " csem

#### Image restoration: Comparing against end-to-end

Image restoration using autoencoding priors [Bigdeli and Zwicker, 2017]

• DNCNN: trained on x2,x3,x4

Method	$\times 2$	$\times 3$	$\times 4$	$\times 5$
Bicubic	28.53	25.92	24.44	23.46
SRCNN	30.52	27.48	25.76	24.05
TNRD	30.53	27.60	25.92	24.61
VDSR	30.72	27.81	26.16	24.01
DnCNN-3	30.99	27.93	26.25	24.26
IRCNN	30.79	27.68	25.96	24.73
DAEP (Ours)	31.07	27.93	26.13	<b>24.88</b>



Image restoration: Hole-filling

# Masked 70% of Pixels 6.13dB









Image restoration using autoencoding priors [Bigdeli and Zwicker, 2017] Deep mean-shift priors for image restoration [Bigdeli et al., 2018]

#### Image restoration: Comparing against end-to-end

Deep mean-shift priors for image restoration [Bigdeli et al., 2018]



Dataset: Levin et al., 2007 **# CSEM** 

### Image restoration: ADMM -> 70x faster

Image Denoising via MAP estimation using Deep Neural Networks [Bigdeli and Süsstrunk, 2019]



30)

#### Image restoration: Summary

- Unsupervised training for one network
- Several restoration tasks
- Without degradation parameters at train time
- Optimizing degradation parameters at test time
  - Can be used to learn the optics error
  - Can be used for noise modeling



#### 0 ENERGY COMPUTING: Convolution optics as a Neural Network Layer



• Field intensity on I(x)

$$I(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int J\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\boldsymbol{x} - \overline{\boldsymbol{u}}\right) K\left(-\frac{1}{\beta}\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}\right) w\left(\frac{1}{\beta f_1}\overline{\boldsymbol{u}}\right) d\overline{\boldsymbol{u}} \quad \longrightarrow \quad I(\boldsymbol{x}) = \left(J\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\cdot\right) * \frac{1}{(\beta f_1)^2} K\left(-\frac{1}{\beta}\cdot\right) w\left(\frac{1}{\beta f_1}\cdot\right)\right) (\boldsymbol{x})$$

Scaled convolution between the field J(x) and K(x)

# Convolution measurment

pinhole





cross





# Digits recognition (MNIST) filters of the 1st layer



(35)



# Set-up

#### Printed mask





Output



3

Input

Front lens (f = 8.5 mm)

# Proof of concept



#### Thank you

